## Documentation of the International Stellarator Confinement Database (ISCDB)

## Version ISS\_DB07\_22

A. Kus, R. Preuss, A. Dinklage Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, Wendelsteinstr. 1, 17491 Greifswald, Germany

## Contents

I.	International Stellarator Confinement Database Collaboration	2
II.	Changes to previous versions	3
III.	Description of Columns	4
IV.	Selection of the Standard Set	14
	A. ISS95	14
	B. W7-AS high-beta data	14
	C. LHD data	15
	D. W7-AS NI data	15
	E. TJ-II data	15
	F. Heliotron J data	15
	G. HSX data	15
	H. W7-X data	15
	I. BRAKEL	15
	J. ITER	15
	K. HIRSCH	16
	L. GRIGULL/McCORMICK	16
	M. PREUSS	16
	N. LHD transport data	16
	O. DREIER	16
	References	16

### I. INTERNATIONAL STELLARATOR CONFINEMENT DATABASE COLLABORATION

The collaboration is carried out under auspices of the IEA implementing Agreement for Cooperation in Development of the Stellarator Concept (2.10.1992).

The database is jointly hosted by National Institute for Fusion Science (NIFS, Toki, Japan) (http://iscdb.nifs.ac.jp/) and Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association (IPP, Greifswald, Germany) (http://www.ipp.mpg.de/ISS/).

The International Stellarator Confinement Database Collaboration is formed by:

E. Ascasibar	CIEMAT	Madrid, Spain
A. Dinklage	IPP	Greifswald, Germany
J. Harris[10]	Australian National University	Canberra, Australia
A. Kus	IPP	Greifswald, Germany
S. Okamura	NIFS	Toki, Japan
R. Preuss	IPP	Garching, Germany
F. Sano	U-Kyoto	Kyoto, Japan
U. Stroth	U-Stuttgart	Stuttgart, Germany
J.N. Talmadge	U-Wisconsin	Madison, USA
H. Yamada[11]	NIFS	Toki, <i>Japan</i>

Discussions and contributions are gratefully acknowledged from:

C.D. Beidler, R. Brakel, V. Dose, J. Geiger, P. Grigull, D. Hartmann, M. Hirsch, K. Ida, Y. Igithkhanov, H. Maaßberg,K. McCormick, D. Mikkelsen, S. Murakami, V. Tribaldos, F. Wagner, A. Weller, and K. Yamazaki

and the Teams of

ATF, CHS, Heliotron-E, Heliotron-J, HSX, H-1, LHD, TJ-II, W7-A, W7-AS, W7-AS and W7-X.

### II. CHANGES TO PREVIOUS VERSIONS

### Release 22

8 density scan shots of W7-AS (H. Dreier) and 394 LHD transport data added. The database contains now 4049 observations.

Two data items from US\_2003 series had STDSET= 1, but RowState=excluded: 39964, 0.679, 39966, 0.229. In both cases STDSET=0 has been set.

In order to distinguish between several kinds of confinement for W7-AS HDH shots, the corresponding values in column COMM have been changed to 'HDH normal confinement', 'HDH attached', 'HDH detached', accordingly.

### Release 21

Thorough discussions on the uncertainties of W7-AS, LHD and CHS. For the other machines the worst estimations of the discussed uncertainties were taken. An high beta survey on the W7-AS data was performed leading to 313 datasets added to the database. A formula (with parameters fitted by Penningsfeld) for the global heat efficiency was employed to determine PABSNBI for W7-AS shots of US\_2003, apart from 13 entries which were taken directly from FAFNER evaluations.

#### Release 20

The following shots were found to occur doubled in the database ISS DB07\_17\_release. These shots were erased from the DB17\_19\_release leading to a diminishing of the total number of datasets from 3226 to 3197 (incl. 4 predictive values for W7-X).

- 1. ATF (11210, 0.372)
- 2. ATF (11217, 0.37)
- 3. ATF (11223, 0.37)
- 4. CHS (37025, 0.071)
- 5. W7-AS (25687, 0.44)
- 6. W7-AS (25843, 0.348)
- 7. W7-AS (25923, 0.3)
- 8. W7-AS (25927, 0.3)
- 9. W7-AS (25957, 0.497)
- 10. W7-AS (25993, 1.197)
- 11. W7-AS (25995, 1.197)
- 12. W7-AS (25998, 0.917)
- 13. W7-AS (26004, 0.309)
- 14. W7-AS (26005, 0.822)
- 15. W7-AS (26129, 0.3)
- 16. W7-AS (26191, 0.57)
- 17. W7-AS (26303, 0.597)
- 18. W7-AS (26305, 0.597)
- 19. W7-AS (26384, 0.558)
- 20. W7-AS (26422, 0.58)

- 21. W7-AS (26426, 0.5)
- 22. W7-AS (26437, 1.3)
- 23. W7-AS (26439, 1)
- 24. W7-AS (26439, 1.265)
- 25. W7-AS (26440, 0.7)
- 26. W7-AS (26440, 0.702)
- 27. W7-AS (26441, 0.25)
- 28. W7-AS (26919, 0.672)
- 29. W7-AS (26931, 0.56)
- to read as Device (shot, time).

As a preparation for a storage in a rational data-base system, the following column names have been renamed due to conflicts with reserved names.

- $\bullet \ COMMENT \to COMM$
- $\bullet \ UPDATE \to UP\_DATE$
- $\bullet \ DATE \to SHOT\_DATE$
- $\bullet \ TIME \to SHOT\_TIME$
- $\bullet \ WITH \rightarrow WIONTH$

### **III. DESCRIPTION OF COLUMNS**

56 columns are compatible with the ISS95 database [8]. The designations and definitions are intended to be compatible with the ITER databases [4]. Present numbering refers to the database file ISS\_DB05\_17.JMP. Please note that some columns represent *Derived Quantities* which means that their value is calculated within the spread-sheet.

### **General parameters**

1. DATASOURCE

Sources of data:

- ISS\_DB05 (855 observations, 808 enter the standard set): ISS95 Database Note: One ATF observation was lost from version 1 to version 17 (shot # 6864)
- W7AS\_ECRH\_AFTER\_DIVERTOR\_INSTALLATION (29 observations, 29 enter ISS04 scaling): W7AS data (ECRH heating only) (Dinklage, Kus)
- US\_2003 (167 observations, 165 enter the standard set): W7-AS data collected by Stroth after ISS95 (for 34609 and 40031 NEBAR is missing)
- W7AS\_HIGH\_BETA (199 observations, 86 enter the standard set): W7-AS data collected by Weller. Some entries excluded from the standard set (see high beta survey below)
- LHD\_6th\_EXP\_CAMP\_V2 (162 observations, 162 enter ISS04 scaling): data collected by Yamada
- W7AS\_NI (1 observation, 1 enters the standard set): W7-AS data containing NBI heating only data collected by Kus
- HELJ data by Sano (111 observations, 54 enter the standard set)
- HSX data by Talmadge (539 observations, 0 enter the standard set) (HSX power & density scans in different configurations)
- predictive (W7-X by Dinklage) (4 'observations', 0 enter the standard set)
- TJ-II\_Jan04\_2 data collected by Ascasibar (1130 observations, 316 enter the standard set):

- BRAKEL data by Brakel (75 observations, 0 enter ISS04 scaling) (W7-AS t- scan)
- NF 41(1999)429 data entered by Dinklage (1 'observation', 0 enter ISS04) (ITER operation point)
- HIRSCH data by Hirsch (2 observations, 0 enter ISS04) (L/H-mode in W7-AS)
- GRIGULL/McCORMICK data by Grigull and Mc Cormick (60 observations, 0 enter ISS04) (HDH density scan in W7-AS (normal confinement, HDH attached, HDH detached in hydrogen discharges with hydrogen NBI heating)
- PREUSS data by Preuss (preuss@ipp.mpg.de) (312 observations, 0 enter ISS04) (high beta survey of W7-AS data)
- LHD TRANSPORT DATA (394 observations, 0 enter ISS04)
- DREIER density scan data by Dreier (8 observations, 0 enter ISS04)

Grand total: 4049 data sets

2. COMM

more detailed specifications, e.g. 'Power Scan, 0.6x10E12, QHS, 2003'

3. STELL

Stellarator that has supplied the data: ATF, CHS, HELE, HELJ, LHD, TJ-II, W7-A, W7-AS, HSX W7-X and ITER are predictive data

4. STDSET

Standard data set:

0, not included in analyses for ISS04; (applies for 1476 data)

1, included in present analyses (applies for 1750 data)

- 5. SHEAR\_INDICATOR Magnetic field shear indicator:
  0 for shearless stellarators (W7-A, W7-AS, W7-X);
  1, otherwise
- 6. UP\_DATE Last update: [YYYYMMDD]
- 7. SHOT\_DATE Date of shot: [YYYYMMDD]
- 8. SHOT Shot number or the first shot number of a sequence
- 9. SEO

Sequence number (designated for a series of similar shots)

- 10. SHOT\_TIME Time during the shot at which the data are taken
- 11. PHASE Phase of the discharge: STAT, stationary phase

### **Plasma composition**

12. PGASA

Mass number of the plasma working gas: 1, H; 2, D; 3, <sup>3</sup>He; 4, He

### 13. PCHARGE

Charge number of the plasma working gas: 1, H; 1, D; 2, He Derived quantity: switch PGASA case 1, 2: PCHARGE = 1 case 4: PCHARGE = 2 otherwise PCHARGE = missing

# end

14. BGASA Mass number of the NBI gas: 1, H; 2, D

### **Device geometry**

15. RGEO

Major radius of the last closed flux surface (m): ATF, (Rmax+Rmin)/2; Heliotron-E, 2.17 m + radial displacement; W7-AS, 2 m + radial displacement; For W7-AS high-beta data, the major radius is taken from VMEC calculations

### 16. RMAG

Major radius of the magnetic axis in the vacuum geometry (m): Heliotron-E, 2.2 m + radial displacement; W7-AS, 2.05 m + radial displacement

### 17. AEFF

Effective minor radius (m): ATF, the t = 1 radius, which is usually not in contact with the wall; CHS,radius limited by the inner wall; Heliotron-E, radius of the last closed flux surface before the ergodic region; W7-AS, last closed flux surface from simple formula interpolating between available configurations; W7-AS high beta data, the minor radius is taken from VMEC calculations

### 18. VOLUME

Plasma volume (m<sup>-3</sup>): Derived quantity: VOLUME =  $2 \times \pi^2 \times \text{AEFF}^2 \times \text{RGEO}$ 

## 19. ASEPARATRIX

Separatrix area (m<sup>-2</sup>): Derived quantity: ASEPARATRIX =  $4 \times \pi^2 \times AEFF \times RGEO$ 

## 20. SEPLIM

Minimum distance between the separatrix and the wall or the limiter(m)

## 21. CONFIG

Device configuration: STD, standard configuration; LIM/STD, standard configuration with limiter

## Machine conditions

- WALMAT Material of the vacuum vessel wall: IN, Inconel; INCARB, Inconel with carbon; SS, stainless steel; SSCARB, stainless steel with carbon
- 23. LIMMAT Limiter material:C, carbon; BORC, boron carbide; SS, stainless steel; TIC, titanium-coated graphite
- 24. EVAP Evaporated material: C, carbonized; BOR, boronized; TI, titanium; CR, chromium; NONE, no evaporation

## **Magnetic configurations**

## 25. BT

Vacuum toroidal field at RGEO: ATF, calculated from coil current

## 26. IP

Total plasma current (A): Positive values if it increases the vacuum iota (equivalent to the direction of the tokamak current)

## 27. VSURF

Loop voltage at plasma boundary (V): positive values correspond to positive IP

## 28. IOTAA

Rotational transform at the plasma edge (AEFF): W7-AS, from simple formula interpolating between available configurations

### 29. IOTA0

Rotational transform at the plasma centre: W7-AS, from simple formula interpolating between available configurations

### 30. IOTA23

Rotational transform at  $r_{eff} = 2/3AEFF$ : For the W7-AS high-beta data, the value is taken from VMEC calculations For the other devices see Ref. [8].

### 31. EPS\_EFF23

effective helical ripple for  $1/\nu$  transport at  $r_{eff} = 2/3AEFF$ : (see, for example, Ref. [1], especially the equation on page 344). For W7-AS, data were provided by C.D. Beidler (DKES results) For LHD, data were provided by M.Yokoyama and S.Murakami (DCOM results). Finite beta effect is estimated from the interpolated expression of DCOM results.

### 32. PLATEAU23

Plateau factor at  $r_{eff} = 2/3AEFF$ (see Eq. (25) in Ref. [3]). Data provided by M. Yokoyama.

### 33. KAPPA

For LHD calculated by  $(\kappa(\phi = 0) \times \kappa(\phi = \pi/20))^{1/2}$ , i.e. averaging of local values at the vertically elongated position  $(\phi = 0)$  and the horizontally elongated position  $(\phi = \pi/20)$  where  $\phi$  is the toroidal angle and  $\kappa = (z_{max} - z_{min})/(R_{max} - R_{min})$  at the last closed flux surface.

## Densities

## 34. NEBAR

Line average electron density  $(m^{-3})$ : W7-AS, if available, from microwave interferometer, otherwise from a central HCN chord

### 35. DNEBAR

Time derivative of NEBAR (m<sup>-3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>): ATF, only steady state, set to 0; Heliotron-E, only steady state, set to 0; W7-AS, only steady state, set to 0 Corresponds to DNELDT variable in the International Global H-mode Confinement Database [6].

### Impurities

## 36. ZEFF

Average plasma effective charge

## 37. PRAD

Total radiative power as measured with bolometry (W)

## **Input power**

## 38. PECH1

Port-through power for primary ECH (W): Heliotron-E, sum of 53 GHz powers; W7-AS, sum of 70 GHz powers

### 39. PECH2

Port-through power for secondary ECH (W): W7-AS, sum of 140 GHz powers

## 40. MECHI

- Mode of primary ECH:
- 1, fundamental;
- 2, second harmonic

## 41. MECH2

Mode of secondary ECH:

- 1, fundamental;
- 2, second harmonic

## 42. PABSECH

Total absorbed ECH power (W): CHS, from radiation level at plasma collapse; Heliotron-E, from power switch-off experiments; W7-AS, 90 and 100% absorption in first and second harmonics, respectively

### 43. ENBII

Power-weighted neutral beam energy for the primary beams (V): W7-AS, sources 1+5; 1: 1/2: 1/3 = 1:1:1

## 44. ENBI2

Power-weighted neutral beam energy for the secondary beams (eV): W7-AS, sources 3+7; 1: 1/2: 1/3 = 1:1:1

45. ENBI3 Power-weighted neutral beam energy for the secondary beams (eV)

#### 46. RTANI

Tangency radius for the primary beams

### 47. RTAN2

Tangency radius for the secondary beams

- 48. RTAN3 Tangency radius for the secondary beams
- 49. PNBI1

Port-through NBI power for the primary beams (W)

50. PNBI2

Port-through NBI power for the secondary beams (W)

51. PNBI3

Port-through NBI power for the tertiary beams (W)

52. GL\_HEAT\_EFF

Parametric formula for the global heat efficiency for W7-AS derived by Penningsfeld. It is used to determine PABSNBI for the datasource US\_2003 and in the calculation of the uncertainty of PTOT for all W7-AS shots

53. DGL\_HEAT\_EFF

Derivative of GL\_HEAT\_EFF with respect to the minor radius AEFF

## 54. PABSNBI

Total absorbed NBI power corrected for shine-through, orbit and charge exchange losses (W): CHS, according to an expression deduced from HELIOS Monte Carlo calculations; Heliotron-E, according to the HELIOS Monte Carlo beam orbit following code; W7-AS, according to an parametric fit deduced from Fafner calculations. Original Fafner evaluations were available for the following shot numbers and used for entry: 31271, 31387, 31463, 31464, 31465, 31466, 31467, 34187, 34313, 34607, 34608, 34609, 37551

## 55. PABSNBLV19

### 56. PICH

Port-through ICRF power (W)

### 57. FICH

ICRF frequency (Hz)

58. PABSICH

ICRF absorbed power (W)

## 59. POH

Ohmic heating power (W)

## 60. PTOT

Total absorbed power (W): Derived quantity: PTOT = PABSECH+PABSNBI+PABSICH +POH

### 61. PFLUX

Power flux through separatrix (Wm<sup>-2</sup>): Derived quantity: PFLUX = PTOT/ASPEPARATRIX

### **Profile information**

### 62. NE0

Central electron density at RMAG ( $m^{-3}$ ): Heliotron-E, taken from FIR; W7-AS, taken from a fit to a Thomson scattering profile

### 63. TE0

Central electron temperature at RMAG (eV): Heliotron-E, taken from a fit to a Thomson scattering profile; W7-AS, taken from a fit to a Thomson scattering profile

## Energies

### 64. WDIA

Total plasma energy as determined by diamagnetic measurements (J): Heliotron-E, from kinetic profiles and the beam contribution calculated by the PROCTR code

## 65. DWDIA

Time derivative of WDIA (W): 0, for PHASE=STAT and PHASE=c; missing, otherwise

## 66. WMHD

Total plasma energy as determined from MHD equilibrium (J): ATF, saddle loop is not calibrated, use for reference only

### 67. WETH

Total thermal electron plasma energy (J): W7-AS, from Thomson scattering profiles

## 68. WIONTH

Total thermal ion plasma energy (J): W7-AS, from simulation with neoclassical transport coefficients

## 69. WTH

Total thermal plasma energy from kinetic measurements (J)

## 70. DWTH

Time derivative of WTH (W) 0, for PHASE=STAT and PHASE=c; missing, otherwise

## 71. WFPER

Calculated total perpendicular fast ion energy (J)

72. WFPAR Calculated total parallel fast ion energy (J)

### **Energy confinement times**

73. TAUEDIA

Global confinement time based on diamagnetic measurement (s): Derived quantity: TAUEDIA = WDIA/(PTOT - DWDIA)

### 74. TAUETH

Thermal energy confinement time (s): Derived quantity: TAUETH = WTH/(PTOT - DWTH)

## Not standard quantities

## 75. COFRANBI

Ratio of co-injected beam port through power to total NBI power: Heliotron-E, perpendicular injection is set to 1; W7-AS sources(5 + 6 + 7 + 8)/all sources (BT > 0)

## **Regression variables and scalings**

- 76. LOG\_A Derived quantity: LOG10 AEFF
- 77. LOG\_R Derived quantity: LOG10 RGEO
- 78. LOG\_P Derived quantity: LOG10 PTOT
- 79. LOG\_N Derived quantity: (LOG10 NEBAR) - 19
- 80. LOG\_B Derived quantity: LOG10 BT

81.	LOG_I Derived quantity: switch STELL case ATF, W7-A, W7-AS (except high-beta): LOG10(IOTAA+(1-2/3) <sup>2</sup> (IOTA0-IOTAA)) case CHS: LOG10(IOTAA+(1-2/3) <sup>3</sup> (IOTA0-IOTAA)) case HELE, HELJ: LOG10(IOTAA+(1-2/3) <sup>4</sup> (IOTA0-IOTAA)) case LHD, TJ-II, HSX, W7-X, W7-AS high-beta: LOG10(IOTA23) end see also E. (1) in Ref. [8].
82.	LOG_TAU Derived quantity: switch STELL case HELE, TJ-II: LOG10(TAUETH) otherwise: LOG10(TAUEDIA) end
83.	LOG_TAUE_ISS95 ISS95 scaling: Exponents used: $a_0 = -0.079$ , $a_a = 2.21$ , $a_R = 0.65$ , $a_P = -0.59[12]$ , $a_n = 0.51$ , $a_B = 0.83$ , $a_i = 0.4$
84.	LOG_TAUE_W7 ISS95W7 scaling. Exponents used: $a_0 = 0.115, a_a = 2.21, a_R = 0.74, a_P = -0.54, a_n = 0.50, a_B = 0.73, a_i = 0.43$
85.	LOG_TAUE_LHD LHD scaling. Exponents used: $a_0 = 0.034, a_a = 2.00, a_R = 0.75, a_P = -0.58, a_n = 0.69, a_B = 0.84$ , iota not used
86.	LOG_TAUE_LG Lackner-Gottardi scaling. Exponents used: $a_0 = 0.68 * 0.0627$ , $a_a = 2.00$ , $a_R = 1.00$ , $a_P = -0.60$ , $a_n = 0.60$ , $a_B = 0.80$ , $a_i = 0.40$ see also Ref. [8].
Diı	mensionless regression variables
87.	TBAR Volume averaged temperature (eV): Derived quantity: WDIA × $(6 \times \pi^2 \times \text{AEFF}^2 \times \text{RGEO} \times \text{NEBAR} \times 1.602 \times 10^{-19})^{-1}$ (for HELE and TJ-II WTH is used instead of WDIA)
88.	LDEBYE Average Debye length: Derived quantity: $\left(\frac{8.8542 \times 10^{-12} \times \text{TBAR}}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{NEBAR}}\right)^{1/2}$
0.0	
89.	LN_LAMBDA Average Coulomb logarithm: Derived quantity: $\ln \left(9 \times \frac{4}{3} \times \pi \times \text{NEBAR} \times \text{LDEBYE}^3\right)$
90.	RHOSTARIon gyro radius normalized by minor radium:Derived quantity: $\left(\frac{\text{TBAR} \times 2 \times 1.602 \times 10^{-19}}{\text{PGASA} \times 1.66055 \times 10^{-27}}\right)^{1/2} \times \frac{\text{PGASA} \times 1.66055 \times 10^{-27}}{\text{PCHARGE} \times 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{BT} \times \text{AEFF}}$ see also Eq. (14) in Ref. [6], note that the ion charge is included in the aforementioned definition.
91.	BETALORIG

### 92. BETA\_FORM

## 93. BETA

```
Plasma beta:
LHD beta corrected according to formula, Heliotron-E, calculated by the PROCTR code.
Derived quantity:
switch STELL
      case LHD:
               LOG_P+LOG_TAU /
                                                               1
                                                                       LOC D LOC TALL
        1
                                         DT<sup>2</sup>
                                                  1
                                                                                                _ __0
```

$$0.722 \times \left(\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{10^{\text{LOG}\_P_{+}\text{LOG}\_\text{TAU}}}{\text{VOLUME}} \middle/ \frac{\text{BT}^{2}}{2 \times 1.2566 \times 10^{-6}} \right) - 0.023 \times \left(\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{10^{\text{LOG}\_P_{+}\text{LOG}\_\text{TAU}}}{\text{VOLUME}} \middle/ \frac{\text{BT}^{2}}{2 \times 1.2566 \times 10^{-6}} \right)^{2}$$
otherwise:  $\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{10^{\text{LOG}\_P_{+}\text{LOG}\_\text{TAU}}}{\text{VOLUME}} \middle/ \frac{\text{BT}^{2}}{2 \times 1.2566 \times 10^{-6}}$ 
end

end

94. MFP\_ION

```
Ion mean free path
```

```
Derived quantity:
```

$$16 \times \pi \times (8.8542 \times 10^{-12})^2 \times (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{PCHARGE})^{-4} \times (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{TBAR})^2 \times \text{NEBAR}^{-1} \times \text{LN\_LAMBDA}^{-1} \times 10^{-12} \times 10^{-12})^{-12} \times (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{PCHARGE})^{-4} \times (1.602 \times 10^{-19} \times \text{TBAR})^{-12} \times 10^{-12} \times 10^{-$$

95. NUSTAR Normalized collisionality: connection length/trapped particle mean free path [6]: Derived quantity: 2/0

$$RGEO \times 10^{-LOG_I} \times \left(\frac{RGEO}{AEFF}\right)^{3/2} \times MFP_ION^{-1}$$

- 96. LOG\_RHO Derived quantity: log<sub>10</sub>(RHOSTAR)
- 97. LOG\_NU Derived quantity:  $\log_{10}(\text{NUSTAR})$
- 98. LOG\_BETA Derived quantity:

 $\log_{10}(BETA)$ 

## Uncertainties of the machine parameters

### 99. PHI\_PARA

LHD: Parallel flux (1/s). Needed for evaluation of uncertainty SIGMA\_W.

100. PHI\_HEL

LHD: Helical flux (1/s). Needed for evaluation of uncertainty SIGMA\_W.

101. PHI\_TOR

LHD: Toroidal flux (1/s). Needed for evaluation of uncertainty SIGMA\_W.

- 102. SIGMA\_AEFF
  - Uncertainty of the effective minor radius (m):

W7AS: Shot numbers less than 29000 were limiter shots. Magnetic islands are not considered in VMEC-calculations. Since they occur more frequently for IOTAA greater than 0.4: 1cm, otherwise  $0.03 \times AEFF$ . For BETA > 0.01:  $0.02 \times AEFF$ . AEFF. All other shots: 1cm ATF: 1cm CHS: 0.2 cm HELE: 1cm W7-A: 1cm LHD:  $0.024 \times AEFF$ HELJ: 1cm TJ-II: 1cm

### 103. SIGMA\_PTOT

Uncertainty of the total absorbed power (W): W7AS: For ECH 5%, for NBI: corrected for shine through, 10% ATF: ECH 20%, NBI 10% CHS: ECH 20%, NBI 10% HELE: ECH 20%, NBI 10% W7-A: ECH 0.3  $\times$  1.25/BT LHD: NBI 8% HELJ: ECH 20% TJ-II: ECH 20%

### 104. SIGMA\_NEBAR

Uncertainty of the line average electron density  $(m^{-3})$ :

The uncertainty stems mainly from the error in the effective minor radius and is therefore with help of error propagation law: SIGMA\_AEFF × NEBAR/AEFF, eccept for CHS: 0.7% and LHS: 0.1% of NEBAR plus  $6 \times 10^{16}$ . W7-AS, if available, from microwave interferometer, otherwise from a central HCN chord

### 105. SIGMA\_W

Uncertainty of WDIA (J): W7AS: 200/2.553 × BT + const × WDIA with const=0.1 for shots before 1999 and const=0.01 afterwards. The time step is due to technical changes for the coil signal by Heike Laqua and improvements of the signal analysis by J. Geiger, both done within the year 1998. ATF: 40 × BT CHS: 40 × BT HELE: 0.1 × WDIA W7-A: 0.1 × WDIA W7-A: 0.1 × WDIA LHD:  $\sqrt{(0.02 \times \text{WDIA})^2(8 \times 10^7 \times \text{BT}/3)^2 \times [(0.01 \times \text{PHI\_TOR})^2 + (0.01 \times \text{PHI\_PARA})^2 + (0.02 \times \text{PHI\_HEL}/0.07)^2]}$ 

- HELJ:  $0.1 \times$  WDIA TJ-II:  $0.1 \times$  WTH
- 106. SIGMA\_LOGA Uncertainty of LOGA: SIGMA\_AEFF/(AEFF × LOG2(10))
- 107. SIGMA\_LOGP Uncertainty of LOGP: SIGMA\_PTOT/(PTOT × Log2(10))
- 108. SIGMA\_LOGN Uncertainty of LOGN: SIGMA\_NEBAR/(NEBAR × Log2(10))

109. SIGMA\_LOGT Uncertainty of LOGT. Since TAU is composed of WDIA/PTOT error propagation law gives  $\sqrt{[SIGMA_W/(10^{LOG_TAU} \times PTOT)]^2 [SIGMA_PTOT/PTOT]^2/log_2(10)}$ 

## Grouping

110. ISS04\_ALL

File Format (JMP) specific rows. Indicate rowstates and device grouping. All data are visible.

- 111. ISS04File Format (JMP) specific rows.Indicate rowstates and device grouping.Only data entering ISS04 are visible.
- 112. Grouping for ISS04 Description of ISS04 subgroups.

### Data analysis

- 113. CHI Argument for least square fit:  $LOG_TAU - \alpha_A \times LOG_A\alpha_R \times LOG_R\alpha_P \times LOG_P\alpha_B \times LOG_B\alpha_I \times LOG_I\alpha_C$
- 114. CHI\_SIGMA Argument for least square fit with uncertainties of the machine parameters:  $\frac{LOG\_TAU - \alpha_A \times LOG\_A\alpha_R \times LOG\_R\alpha_P \times LOG\_P\alpha_B \times LOG\_B\alpha_I \times LOG\_I\alpha_C}{SIGMA\_LOGT^2 + (\alpha_A \times SIGMA\_LOGA)^2 + (\alpha_P \times SIGMA\_LOGP)^2 + (\alpha_N \times SIGMA\_LOGN)^2}$

### IV. SELECTION OF THE STANDARD SET

### A. ISS95

The standard data set used in all the regressions of Ref. [8] can be obtained from the entire database under the following conditions:

- 1. Delete discharges in helium.
- 2. For ATF, delete discharge 6842. (3) For Heliotron-E, delete discharge 53 705.
- 3. For W7-AS delete all discharges with high power densities given by the condition  $PTOT/NEBAR > 3 \times 10^{14} Wm^{-3}$ .
- 4. For W7-AS, delete discharges 21089, 24734, 25966, 25969, 26000 and 26925.
- 5. Use the diamagnetic energy confinement time; only for Heliotron-E has the thermal confinement time be used. For observations included in the standard set, the parameter STDSET is set to 1. Otherwise this parameter is set to 0.

#### B. W7-AS high-beta data

The data were collected by A. Weller. This data set refers to high beta campaigns in W7-AS. Only data with beta > 1.5 % were considered (199 observations). High beta data always may be afflicted from configuration effects, such as islands or corrugated boundary structures. This has to be taken into consideration for iota values larger than 0.5. The iota value of the data set is iota at  $r_{eff} = 2/3AEFF$ .

The following shots are excluded from the standard data set:

- for not being in the criteria of the high beta survey: 43348, 51348, 51373, 54130, 54925, 56315, 56316, 56317, 56325, 56326, 56328, 56329, 56336, 56337, 56340, 56341, 56345, 56345, 56346, 56347, 56348, 56349, 56350, 56351, 56700, 56702, 56711, 56712
- high beta survey time point more stationary, higher beta (see below): 53007
- high beta survey time point with higher beta: 53008
- for entry at multiple times without change in scenario: 53052 at 0.249s, 53054 at 0.249s, 53055 at 0.249s, 54012 at 0.349s, 54019 at 0.229s, 54022 at 0.349s, 54023 at 0.349s, 54024 at 0.349s, 56403 at 0.597s and 0.598s, 56703 at 0.326s, 56723 at 0.399s
- for current Ip too high: 54147, 54152, 54153, 54156, 55852, 55876, 55904, 55905, 55907, 55908, 55909, 56286, 56293, 56298, 56299, 56302, 56306, 56307, 56308, 56309, 56310, 56311, 56312, 56952
- control coils not optimized: 53053
- for not being stationary: 54020, 54932 at 0.262s, 56936 at 0.279s, 56953 (last W7AS shot)  $\rightarrow$  0.28s and smaller times
- for ramp in vertical field Bz: 56736 at 0,444s, 56935, 56938 at 0,356s, 56939 at 0,291s, 56940 at 0,319s, 56945 at 0.279s and 0.339s and 0.533s, 56949, 56950
- 56952 not stationary at 0.229s and at larger times current Ip too high

Shot 51373 can be regarded to document the effect of control field coils; the control current of which is zero and has to be compared with shot 51385 in order to document the optimization of the plasma position due to the control coils.

### C. LHD data

PHASE was set to "STAT".

### D. W7-AS NI data

(shot #50886) PHASE set to "STAT", PNBI1, PNBI2 set to missing, PABSNBI calculated by Werner.

#### E. TJ-II data

There are 1130 discharges from TJ-II in the database, belonging to 43 different magnetic configurations. For the standard data set (used e.g. in the ISS04 scaling) we have selected the configuration named 100\_44\_64, which has the largest number of discharges and  $t_{2/3} = 1.60$ . For TJ-II, the thermal confinement time has been used.

### F. Heliotron J data

The data were collected by F. Sano. This data set refers to electron cyclotron heating (ECH) campaigns in Heliotron J (shots 5287-5302 and 6049-6140 in 2001 and shots 7776-8084 in 2002) under the on-axis and off-axis heating conditions by using 70-GHz, 0.4-MW focused 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic ECH of X-mode. Only data with the standard (STD) magnetic configuration were considered, where the vacuum edge iota value is about 0.557 with low magnetic shear as well as with magnetic well depth of about 1.5% at the boundary. The internal plasma energy content was measured using the diamagnetic loop at the peak energy timing. The ECH absorption power was estimated using the TRECE code [9], where the calculated single-pass absorption efficiency for the flat density profile and the parabolic temperature profile is 0.429 for NEBAR =  $0.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and  $T_e(0) = 1200 \text{eV}$ , 0.495 for NEBAR =  $0.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and  $T_e(0) = 500 \text{eV}$ , and 0.598 for NEBAR =  $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and  $T_e(0) = 250 \text{eV}$ . In the present data set, the effective absorption efficiency of ECH was assumed to be 66% taking into account the assumed 20-40% multi-reflection effects. The off-axis heating shots (BT = 1.17T and BT = 1.30T) and shots 8082 and 8084 are excluded from the standard data set of on-axis heating (1.17 < BT < 1.30).

### G. HSX data

The vast majority of the shots come with ECH power less than 100 kW. Since the densities are in the  $10^{17}$  m<sup>-3</sup> range, the major fraction of the stored energy can be suspected to be nonthermal. HSX confinement time does not scale with density and power in the way that the other stellarators do. Data were excluded for ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

#### H. W7-X data

The data refer to operational parameters given adopted from Grieger *et al.* [5]. The data do not incorporate any optimization considerations but are the scaling extrapolations. It is to be emphasized that these extrapolations are considerably smaller than the figures in the W7-X proposal. Data should not be used in any scaling (STDSET = 0).

#### I. BRAKEL

W7-AS data from the *t*-scan shown in Fig. 2 of [2]. Data not used for ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

#### J. ITER

Reference for ITER operation from [7]. Data should not be used in any scaling (STDSET = 0).

### K. HIRSCH

Comparative H- and L-mode data. Data not used for ISS04 (STDSET = 0). AEFF is an upper limit.

### L. GRIGULL/McCORMICK

Density scan for divertor operation covering the transition from normal confinement (NC) to attached HDH to detached HDH operation. AEFF was determined from vacuum field calculations (Gourdon code). The absorbed power was estimated from extrapolations of the Penningsfeld formula. Data not used for ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

### M. PREUSS

W7-AS high-beta survey: the data were collected by R. Preuss. The search criteria have been: toroidal magnetic field at 36 degrees in between -1.5T and 1.5T, ICOR1 smaller than -1kA, IP in between -0.5kA and 0.5kA and PNBI larger than 2MW. Only those shots were taken into account which obeyed a stationarity criteria, i.e. variation of less than 10% relative for  $\pm$  0,05s around shot time. Data added to the standard set after completion of ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

### N. LHD transport data

LHD transport data collected by A. Weller during a stay at NIFS in autumn 2007 in cooperation with S. Sakakibara and H. Funaba. Data added to the standard set after completion of ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

### **O. DREIER**

W7-AS density scan data collected by H. Dreier. Data added to the standard set after completion of ISS04 (STDSET = 0).

- [1] Beidler, C. D., and W. N. G. Hitchon, 1994, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 35, 317.
- [2] Brakel, R., and W7-AS Team, 2002, Nuclear Fusion **42**(7), 903.
- [3] E. Rodriguez-Solano Ribeiro, and K. C. Shaing, 1987, Phys. Fluids 30(2), 462.
- [4] EFDA, 2004, http://efdasql.ipp.mpg.de/HmodePublic/DataDocumentation/Datainfo/DB3varlist.htm, EFDA, Garching.
- [5] Grieger, G., and the W7-X Team, 1998, J. Plasma Fsuion Res. SERIES 1, 53.
- [6] ITER Physics Expert Groups on Confinement and Transport and Confinement Modelling and Database, ITER Physics Basis Editors, and ITER EDA, 1999, Nucl. Fusion 39, 2175.
- [7] Kardaun, O., 1999, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 41, 429.
- [8] Stroth, U., M. Murakami, R. A. Dory, H. Yamada, S. Okamura, F. Sano, and T. Obiki, 1996, Nucl. Fusion 36, 1063.
- [9] Tribaldos, V., and et al., 2002, J. Plasma Fusion Res. 78, 996.
- [10] Chairman
- [11] Physics coordinator
- [12] Note: Since PTOT in the ISS95 scaling was used in MW, LOG\_P is replaced by (LOG\_P 6) in LOG\_TAUE\_ISS95, LOG\_TAUE\_W7, LOG\_TAU\_LHD, LOG\_TAU\_LG